

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,484	04/17/2001	Lisette Cooper	11910-003001	8521
²⁶¹⁶¹ FISH & RICH	7590 12/28/2006 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 102	2		CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	09/836,484		COOPER ET AL.				
1 	Richard E. Chilcot		Art Unit 3634				
Document Code - AP.PRE.I				ν			
Notice of Panel De	cision fron	n Pre-A	ppeal Brief	Review			
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>10/03/2006</u> .							
1. Improper Request – The Req reason(s):	uest is improper a	nd a conferen	ce will not be held fo	r the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: . 							
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 C of the notice of appeal, as applicable	appeal because the in accordance with the mailing this decent of appeal, whiche FR 1.136 based u	nere is at leas in 37 CFR 41.3 dision, or the leaver is greater	t one actual issue for 37. The time period for palance of the two-m r. Further, the time pe	appeal. Applicant or filing an appeal onth time period eriod for filing of the			
The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consider		aim(s) is as fo	ollows:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
All participants:							
(1) Richard E. Chilcot, SPE Art Unit 3634 (2) Vincent Millin, Appeal Specialist, 1	e bee	(3)Siegfried	Chencinski, Examine	<u>∍r</u> . X			
(2) <u>Vincent Millin, Appeal Specialist</u> . \(\sumsymbol{\infty} \tag{4}							